

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,658	07/22/2002	Alvin Berger	112843-044	6858	
29157 RELL ROYD	7590 04/03/2007 & LLOVD LLP	EXAMINER			
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135			EBRAHIM, NABILA G		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/089,658	BERGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nabila G. Ebrahim	1618		

		Nabila G. Ebrahim	1618					
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REF	THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. ⊠ The this pla a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance e periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of a wing replies: (1) an amendment, affi stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) 🗌 b) 🛛								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
have beer under 37 ( set forth ir may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excEFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late are any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee.  The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
filin a N	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDI</u>								
(a) (b)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4 🗀 🖚	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inplicate / internatione	(1.02.02.1).				
6. Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be an allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,						
hov	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) w the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an	explanation of				
Cla	im(s) allowed:							
	uim(s) objected to: uim(s) rejected:							
Cla	im(s) rejected: im(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
bed wa	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ares not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🔲 Ti	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER the request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. 🔲 U	ther:							

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the scope of newly amended claims filed 3/12/07 has been changed by deleting NHCH2CH2OH group in claim 1 and thus, the new search and/or consideration are required to determine patentability of newly amended claims.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER